

*See, e.g., Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of Northern New Eng.*, 546 U.S. 320, 327-28 (2006) (“[O]ur precedents hold . . . that a State may not restrict access to abortions that are ‘necessary, in appropriate medical judgment, for the preservation of the life or health of the mother.’”) (*quoting Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pa. v. Casey*, 505 U. S. 833, 879 (1992) (plurality opinion)); *Stenberg v. Carhart*, 530 U. S. 914, 930 (2000) (“Since the law requires a health exception in order to validate even a postviability abortion regulation, it at a minimum requires the same in respect to previability regulation.”)